

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/911,614

Applicant(s)

KOUNO ET AL.

Examiner

Michael K. GRAY

Art Unit

3746

All Participants:(1) Michael K. GRAY.(2) Alan Schiavelli, Esq.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 March 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

November 3, 2003

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

Lorson**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Michael K. Gray

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that language should be inserted into claim 5 to indicate that end plate, valve seat and bore were part of same element. Attorney indicated he would have to get back with his client for approval. Examiner indicated change could be made by examiner's amendment.